

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,652	11/10/2003	Peter Callas	80121-08601	6132
758 75	90 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
FENWICK & WEST LLP			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	a A			
		10/705,652	CALLAS ET AL.	Ü			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael G. Mendoz					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ntion appears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ication. lays, a reply within the statutory minimu ory period will apply and will expire SIX I. by statute. cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed on of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	∍ly. communication.			
Status							
1)[又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 November 2003</u> .					
, —	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Interpretation is objected to by the Interpretation is a specific and the Interpretation is objected to be Interpretation in the Interpretation in the Interpretation is objected to be Interpretation in the Interpretation in	a) accepted or b) object on to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been receive ocuments have been receive the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa ).	ıl Stage			
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449) Ser No(s)/Mail Date 23 December 2003.	0-948) Pa FO/SB/08) 5)	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fogarty et al. 5979452 in view of Laird 6276661 and Merry et al. 4929235.
- 3. Fogarty et al. disclose an access port (figs. 4-12) for introducing an endoscopic device, as claimed and suggest that any type of seal means may be incorporated with the access pod (fig. 12) for sealing the access port. Laird discloses a fluid seal being used with an access pod for introducing an endoscopic device, as claimed. Merry et al. disclose a fluid seal (26) and an auxiliary fluid seal (29), as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the fluid seal as disclosed by Laird or Merry et al. into the access port of Fogarty et al. in order to provide a fluid tight seal about the endoscopic device within the access port.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,652 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

## Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (703) 305-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-4304. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

MM

MM July 9, 2004

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER